**General**

 **Project**

Acronym and Title: ………………………………………..

Duration (months): ………………………………………..

Total budget request (Euro)………………………………………..

**Principal investigator**

Title and Name (Last, First) ………………………………………..

Department: ………………………………………..

Institution: ………………………………………..

Telephone: ………………………………………..

E-mail: ………………………………………..

**Other applicants**

Please fill out the table for the co-applicants (in case of a collaborative grant application that involves several institutes) or team members (in case of a single institute grant application). In case you are the sole applicant, please remove the table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title and Name (Last, First)** | **Institute** | **e-mail** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Checklist**

[Insert principal investigator name], the main applicant of this grant application, declares:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| to have the explicit consent of all applicants for their participation and the content of this proposal | [ ]  |
| that the information contained in this proposal is correct and complete | [ ]  |
| that none of the project activities have started before the proposal was submitted (unless authorised in the call conditions) | [ ]  |
| to be fully compliant with the eligibility criteria set out in the call and the research grant policies of Stichting Metakids (see www.metakids.nl\onderzoek\voor-onderzoekers) | [ ]  |
| to have the financial and operational capacity to carry out the proposed project | [ ]  |
| that the proposal complies with ethical principles, including the highest standards of research integrity as set out in the ALLEA European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity | [ ]  |
| that the division- or department head has been informed and approved the content of this proposal | [ ]  |
| to be aware that the project cannot be fully funded and started before institutional approval from the appropriate local authorities (METC/DEC) has been obtained | [ ]  |
| to be aware that all decisions about grant applications made by Stichting Metakids are final and are not subject to appeal | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Additional questions | YES | NO |
| Has a comparable grant application been previously submitted to Stichting Metakids? If *YES*, please describe which parts of the proposal have been revised and motivate these changes in a separate letter.  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Has a comparable grant application (partly) been previously submitted to and/or granted by other organizations? | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| If so, please describe which parts of the proposal have been submitted and/or granted by other organizations (name, amount). | [ ]  | [ ]  |

Name of Main Applicant: ………………………………………..

Signature of Main Applicant: ………………………………………..

Date: ………………………………………..

**Project description**

Instructions are given in blue. These blue texts may be removed before submitting the grant application. Before filling out this template, please read the **Metakids call topic** and the **Metakids grant application guidelines** (www.metakids.nl\onderzoek\voor-onderzoekers).

**Provide a layman’s summary of the proposed project (Max 0,5 page)**

This section will be evaluated by a Patient Advisory Council (PAR) representative. Focus on why and how your project is relevant to patients and their caregivers. Describe the project in layman’s terms including the following subjects:

What is the objective of your project?

How and/or why is the project or are the results of the project relevant for patients and parents?

How are patients/parents or patient-representatives involved in the application and/or execution of the project?

 …

**Chapter 1: Scientific Excellence (Max 2 pages)**

1. **Objectives**

Describe the objectives of your proposed work. Show how they are measurable and verifiable and linked to 1.2-1.3 and 2.1.

…

1. **Relevance to the call topic**

Show how the objectives are pertinent to the MK call topic.

…

**1.3 Methodology.**

Describe the strategy and conceptual methodology to achieve the objectives.

Provide a brief narrative of the proposed methodologies, including their rationale, technical aspects, innovative elements, availability of infrastructure and their feasibility.

Describe preliminary work of the application team that is relevant for the current proposal.

Indicate how the project aligns with the “Kennisagenda”

Does the project contain ethical approval: Not applicable / Niet WMO-plichtig / Full protocol to be written / amendment on previously approved project

…

**Chapter 2: Impact (Max 1 page)**

* 1. **Explain the innovative aspects in the project**

…

* 1. **Expected impact**

Describe the expected impact of the proposed work, in relation to the call topic, scientific, medical and societal. If applicable, differentiate between different target groups, such as patients, caretakers, physicians, researchers, industry. Describe the *scale* and the *significance* of the proposed work for the different target groups. This includes providing an estimate on how many patients and/or other end-users will potentially benefit from the proposed work and to what extent.

…

**Chapter 3: Duration and budget**

This short proposal form does not contain a fully detailed project plan. Therefore, the evaluation committee (WAR/PAR) will not evaluate the quality of the consortium and the budget in relation to the detailed project plan. For administrative and financial purposes, Metakids needs to know the intended duration and requested budget – in line with the conditions as set out in the call topic. The requested budget indicated below, may not be significantly altered in the full proposal stage.

**3.1. Appointment**

**PhD Օ**

**Postdoc Օ**

**Junior staff Օ**

**Otherwise Օ**

**3.2. Project duration:** … months

**3.3 Total requested budget\*:** ….. Euro

* Metakids does not provide funding in this call for overhead costs or for permanent staff positions